UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,103	02/11/2004	Ryo Kawahara	2023-0104002Reg	2619	
	590 01/19/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STI	REET	DIACOU, ARI M			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3663	` ;	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	ITHS	01/19/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/775,103	KAWAHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ari M. Diacou	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 November 2006</u>.</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4 and 33-41 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4 and 33-41 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of the correction are considered to by the Examiner of the constant of the correction of the constant of the correction of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Notice of Informal P	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103

Art Unit: 3663

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. During an interview with Michael Monaco on 1-10-2007. Applicant expressly invoked 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. As a result, claim 35 is linked to claim 33, which was already linked to claim 1. Claim 35 and its dependents are therefore rejoined. As a result, all pending claims are now rejoined and will be prosecuted on the merits.

#### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Michael Monaco on 1-11-2007.

Page of		Regarding		
Remarks	Line	Cłaim	Change	
4	23	38	distribution system as claimed in claim 37	

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

4. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 36 recites limitations which lack antecedent basis. It appears that claim 36 is supposed to be dependent on claim 35 not 34, and will be examined as such.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 33, 35 and 37-41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerrish et al. (USP No. 2001/0040720).
  - Regarding claims 1, 33 and 35, Gerrish discloses an optical amplifier connected to an optical transmission line, comprising:
    - o means for detecting an optical input and output power of said optical amplifier; [¶ 0021] [Equation 2]
    - means for obtaining a difference between a measured gain of said optical amplifier and a target gain based on the detected optical input and output power to produce an error signal; [Equation 2] [e(t)]

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

o means for applying said error signal to each of a proportional calculation and an integral calculation to create respective proportional [e(t)] and integral control signals  $[e(\tau)]$ ; [Equation 1]

- o means for adding the proportional and integral control signals to create a drive current of at least one pump laser diode provided in said optical amplifier; [u(t)] [Equation 1]
- o means for controlling the gain of said optical amplifier with the drive current; and [¶ 0023]
- means for adjusting a control parameter of the proportional calculator in response to the detected optical input power. [u(t) is a function of input power as a function of time, and therefore performs PI control on the fly]
- Regarding claims 39-41, Gerrish discloses  $u(t) \propto e(t) \propto P_{in}(t)$  in equations 1 & 2.
- Regarding claim 37, Gerrish discloses the use of his amplifier in WDM networks in [¶ 0003].
- Regarding claim 38, Gerrish discloses the use of his amplifier in WDM networks in [¶ 0003]. A second optical source and a multiplexer are inherent to a WDM (Wavelength Division Multiplexed) network.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103 Page 5

Art Unit: 3663

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 4, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerrish as applied to claims 1, 33, 35 and 39-41 above, and further in view of Ye (USP No. 6414788). Gerrish discloses the invention with all the limitations of claims 1, 33 and 36, but fails to disclose adjusting control parameters in response to an add/drop transient event. Ye teaches a PID controller that can handle add/drop events. [Fig. 1]

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103

Art Unit: 3663

[Cols. 4-6]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art (e.g. an optical engineer) at the time the invention was made, to use modify the invention of Gerrish, with the control mechanisms of Ye, for the advantage of making a more adaptable optical amplifier.

### Conclusion

- 11. While patent drawings are not drawn to scale, relationships clearly shown in the drawings of a reference patent cannot be disregarded in determining the patentability of claims. See <u>In re Mraz</u>, 59 CCPA 866, 455 F.2d 1069, 173 USPQ 25 (1972).
- The references made herein are done so for the convenience of the applicant.

  They are in no way intended to be limiting. The prior art should be considered in its entirety.
- 13. The prior art which is cited but not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. As to limitations which are considered to be inherent in a reference, note the case law of In re Ludtke, 169 U.S.P.Q. 563; In re Swinehart, 169 U.S.P.Q. 226; In re Fitzgerald, 205 U.S.P.Q. 594; In re Best et al, 195 U.S.P.Q. 430; and In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q. 685, 688.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ari M. Diacou whose telephone number is (571) 272-5591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMD 1/11/2007

JACK KEITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER